

REMARKS

In the above referenced Office Action, the Examiner divided the claims into the following groups:

Group I, Claims 82, 83, 95, 103, 104, 112, 113, 116, 117, 129, 132, 135, and 138 drawn to a method of setting up radiation treatment for a patient situated on a patient support;

Group II, Claims 144-150 drawn to an apparatus for preparing a patient for radiation treatment in a radiation device.

In addition, the Examiner requested an election of species from the following groups.


- 1 Species A shown in Figure 1; and
- 2 Species B shown in Figure 15.

In response, the applicants elect Group II, Species A, without traverse. Based on the Applicants' review of the application Group II, Species A includes claims 144-150. Non-elected claims 82-143 have been cancelled without prejudice to pursuing these claims in a continuation, divisional, continuation-in-part or other application.

Upon allowance of the generic claims, applicant(s) request considerations of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or which otherwise include all the limitations of the allowed generic claims.

Dated: 3.29.07

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Susan D. Betscher
Registration No. 43,488
PERKINS COIE LLP
P.O. Box 1247
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247
(206) 359-8000
(206) 359-7198 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant